UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,296	12/16/2004	Per Bergqvist	66352-034 8310		
25269 DYKEMA GO	25269 7590 09/05/2007 DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC			EXAMINER	
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			DEAN, RAYMOND S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/518,296	BERGQVIST, PER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Raymond S. Dean	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2007</u> .					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, _					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	7.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2004</u> is/ar		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
	-, <u> </u>					

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 25, 2007have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 3, 3rd

Paragraph "Consequentially, the teachings of Hurst are unrelated to remapping of the unique identity ...". The IMEI, which is the unique identity, is binded, which is the mapping, to the service options, which are the properties, thus enabling the user of a mobile device to have access to said options. The service options such as multimedia content are an indicator of the type of terminal such as a multimedia terminal or device. Thus, when one applies the broadest reasonable interpretation, the claim limitations in question read on Hurst.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 4, 1st

Paragraph "The combining of Jokinen and Hurst does not give rise to the method to automatically adapt information ...". Jokinen teaches this feature (See Jokinen Section 0063 lines 8 – 15). The combination of Jokinen and Hurst as detailed in the Office Action dated February 23, 2007, teaches all of the claim limitations of Claim 1.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants assertion on Page 5, 1^{st} Paragraph "there is no teaching of monitoring and probing ...". Hurst further the step of detecting the type of terminal being carried out by monitoring and probing signal links (Cols. 9 lines 45 - 53, 11 lines 62 - 67, 12 lines 1 - 3, monitoring of the transmission

path yields the URL, which is an indicator of the service option and thus the type of terminal).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jokinen et al. (US 2003/0027581) in view of Hurst et al. (US 7,149,545).

Consider Claim 1, Jokinen teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network (0026, lines 1-29, particularly lines 9-13, 0027-0028), which method comprises the steps of: -the detection of the unique identity of the terminal that the subscriber is currently using (0013, lines 1-21, particularly lines 5-15, 0014, lines 4-12, 0026, lines 1-29, particularly lines 18-20, 0026); the adaptation of information about properties to services for the type of terminal detected (0027, lines 1-14, particularly lines 5-9, 0063, lines 1-17, particularly lines 8-15), by retrieving data corresponding to a terminal type; and the presentation of the adapted information on the said terminal (0043, lines 1-20, particularly lines 6-8).

Although Jokinen et al. teaches the method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network (0026, lines 1-29,

Art Unit: 2618

particularly lines 9-13, 0027-0028), Jokinen et al. does not specifically teach the remapping of the unique identity to properties, including type of terminal.

However, in related art, Hurst teaches remapping of the unique identity to properties, including type of terminal (Col. 13 lines 24 – 35, 15 lines 8 – 10, lines 13 – 17, the IMEI is binded or mapped to the service options, which are the properties, the service options used by the terminal are an indicator of the type of terminal).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Jokinen with the binding feature of Hurst for the purpose of authorizing subsequent service or content activation to authorized users while minimizing that unauthorized users will be able to access said service options or content as taught by Hurst.

Consider Claim 2, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Hurst further teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network, the step of detecting the type of terminal being carried out by monitoring and probing signal links (Cols. 9 lines 45 – 53, 11 lines 62 – 67, 12 lines 1 – 3, monitoring of the transmission path yields the URL, which is an indicator of the service option and thus the type of terminal).

Consider Claim 3, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Hurst further teaches detecting the type of terminal being carried out by monitoring and probing signal links in order to detect MSISDN-IMSI mapping (Cols. 9 lines 45 - 53, 11 lines 62 - 67, 12 lines 1 - 9).

Consider Claim 4, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Jokinen further teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network further comprising the steps of: the request by the user of a service via SMS/USSD or conversation (0057, lines 1-23, particularly lines 9-15, 0050, lines 1-14, particularly lines 4-8, 0041, lines 15-20, 0035, lines 1-8); the exchange of IMEI information between MSC and BSC/RNC or between SGSN and BSC/RNC for the subscriber (0041, lines 1-23, 0043, lines 1-20, 0048-0049, 0031-0032); the capture of current IMEI information about the subscriber by probing the signal link (0049, lines 1-10, 0013, lines 1-21, particularly lines 6-8, 0014, lines 1-16, particularly lines 5-7, 0043, lines 1-20, particularly lines 17-20, 0044, lines 1-8, See Parts 300, 302 of Figure 3), in order to detect whether the IMEI is a new IMEI for the subscriber identified; the detection by an application server of the request (0049, lines 1-10, See Parts 300, 302 of Figure 3); the request by the application server for terminal properties from the configuration server (0012, lines 1-9, 0014, 0026, lines 1-29), by using a provisional server to initiate procedures for the terminal; the discovery by the configuration server of a unique subscriber identity either by reading information that is stored locally or by a request to HLR (0049, lines 1-10); the reading by the configuration server of stored IMEI for the subscriber (0049, lines 1-10, 0041, lines 1-23, 0043, lines 1-20, 0048, 0031-0032); the return by the configuration server of the properties to the application server (0026, lines 1-29, 0014, lines 1-16, 0012); and the transmission of a terminal-dependent configuration to the terminal via SMS or other information channel (0057, lines 1-23, particularly lines 9-15, 0050, lines 1-14,

Art Unit: 2618

particularly lines 4-8, 0041, lines 15-20, 0035, lines 1-8). Hurst further teaches remapping of IMEI to properties (CoI. 13 lines 24 – 35, 15 lines 8 – 10, lines 13 – 17, the IMEI is binded or mapped to the service options, which are the properties, the service options used by the terminal are an indicator of the type of terminal).

Consider Claim 5, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Jokinen further teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network further comprising the steps: the request by the user of a service via SMS/USSD or conversation (0057, lines 1-23, particularly lines 9-15, 0050, lines 1-14, particularly lines 4-8, 0041, lines 15-20, 0035, lines 1-8); the detection by an application server of the request (0049, lines 1-10, See Parts 300, 302 of Figure 3); the request by the application server for properties (0012, lines 1-9, 0014, 0026, lines 1-29), by using a provisional server to initiate procedures for the terminal; the request by the configuration server for IMEI via modified ATI or a new operation involving HLR (0043, 0048, 0026); the request by HLR to the terminal for IMEI via MSC/SGSN (0043, lines 1-20, particularly lines 14-20, 0048); the return by the configuration server of the properties to the application server (0026, lines 1-29, 0014, lines 1-16, 0012); and the transmission of a terminal-dependent configuration to the terminal via SMS or other information channel (0057, lines 1-23, particularly lines 9-15, 0050, lines 1-14, particularly lines 4-8, 0041, lines 15-20, 0035, lines 1-8). Hurst further teaches remapping of IMEI to properties (Col. 13 lines 24 – 35, 15 lines 8 - 10, lines 13 - 17, the IMEI is binded or mapped to the service options,

which are the properties, the service options used by the terminal are an indicator of the type of terminal).

Consider Claim 6, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 5. Jokinen further teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network wherein the step in which HLR requests IMEI from the terminal comprises the steps of: the request by HLR to MSC/SGSN for IMEI for the subscriber (0043, lines 1-20, particularly lines 14-20, 0048, lines 1-10); and the request by MSC/SGSN to the terminal for IMEI for the subscriber via BSC (0043, lines 1-20, 0048, lines 1-10, 0026).

Consider Claim 7, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Jokinen further teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network further comprising the steps of: the request by the application server for properties from the configuration server (0012, lines 1-9, 0014, 0026, lines 1-29), by using a provisional server to initiate procedures for the terminal; the discovery by the configuration server of a unique subscriber identity either by reading information that is stored locally or by a request to HLR (0049, lines 1-10); the reading by the configuration server of stored IMEI for the subscriber (0049, lines 1-10, 0041, lines 1-23, 0043, lines 1-20, 0048, 0031-0032); the contact by the configuration server to collaborating configuration servers if the IMEI information is not present in the local database (0049, lines 1-10, 0055, lines 1-25, 0042, 0051, 0053-0054), whereby the relevant collaborating configuration servers are determined by a request to HLR (0043, lines 1-20, 0048, lines 1-10, 0026); the

conversion by the application server of terminal-independent information to terminal-dependent information (0009, lines 1-6, Abstract, 0012-0014, 0026, lines 1-29, 0027-0028, 0033-0034, 0043-0044, 0048-0049); and the delivery of terminal-dependent information to the terminal (0034, lines 1-24, particularly lines 5-6). Hurst further teaches remapping of IMEI to properties (Col. 13 lines 24 – 35, 15 lines 8 – 10, lines 13 – 17, the IMEI is binded or mapped to the service options, which are the properties, the service options used by the terminal are an indicator of the type of terminal).

Consider Claim 8, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 7. Jokinen further teaches a method for the automatic management of terminal-dependent information in a wireless communication network, the conversion step occurring based on attributes in the properties (0009, lines 1-6, Abstract, 0012-0014, 0026, lines 1-29, 0027-0028, 0033-0034, 0043-0044, 0048-0049), by using a provisional server to initiate procedures for the terminal.

Consider Claim 9, Jokinen in view of Hurst teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Jokinen further teaches at least one software product that can be loaded directly into the internal memory (0041, lines 1-23, particularly lines 13-15, 0065, 0068-0070, 0066) of at least one digital computer (0003, lines 9-12, 0027, lines 1-9) comprising software modules for carrying out the steps when the said products, at least one such (102subl,...,102subn) (0041, lines 1-23, particularly lines 13-15, 0065, 0068-0070, 0066) is run on the said computers, at least one such (100subl,...,100subn) (0003, lines 9-12, 0027, lines 1-9).

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean August 29, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 10